

# Families of algebraic varieties

## Felix Klein Lectures

**János Kollár**

Princeton University

Oct, 2023

## Plan of the lectures

- History and examples, from Riemann to Mumford
  - Moduli of varieties; main questions and definitions
  - Characterizations of stable families
  - Du Bois property and consequences
  - K-flatness
  - Difficulties in positive characteristic
- The lectures are mostly independent of each other.
- For details, see mainly the books
- Singularities of the minimal model program, CUP, 2013*
- Families of varieties of general type, CUP, 2023*

Families of algebraic varieties  
Felix Klein Lecture # 4  
János Kollár

Du Bois singularities and consequences

When to turn to Du Bois singularities?

## Thesis

*If you have singularities that are not rational or CM, but close to it, Du Bois singularities may give the answer.*

**Example.**  $X \rightarrow S$  flat. When is  $\omega_{X/S}$  flat?

Classical answer: if fibers are CM (easy proof).

New answer: if fibers are Du Bois.

(Will give longer, roundabout proof.)

Non-example: cones over  $C \times D$ , slide 1

Let  $C, D$  be a smooth, projective curves of genus  $\geq 2$ , and  $L, M$  ample line bundles of degrees  $d, e$ . Let

$X_{L,M} := \text{Spec } \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} H^0(C \times D, (L \boxtimes M)^m)$  be the cone over  $C \times D$  with ample line bundle  $L \boxtimes M$ .

Then  $\omega_{X_{L,M}}$  is the sheaf corresponding to  $\bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} H^0(C \times D, (\omega_C \boxtimes \omega_D) \otimes (L \boxtimes M)^m)$ .

**Examples.** For  $g - 1 < d \leq 2g - 2$  and suitable  $M$

- 1 the  $X_{L,M}$  form a flat family over  $\text{Pic}^d(C)$ , but the  $\omega_{X_{L,M}}$  are not flat; or
- 2 the  $X_{L,M}$  do not form a flat family over  $\text{Pic}^d(C)$ , but the  $\omega_{X_{L,M}}$  are flat.

Non-example: cones over  $C \times D$ , slide 2

Key property:  $h^0(C, L^m)$  and  $h^0(C, \omega_C \otimes L^{-m})$  vary with  $L$  only for  $m = 1$ .

So, the only summands that vary with  $L$  are

- $H^0(C, L) \otimes H^0(D, M)$  in  $\mathcal{O}_{X_{L,M}}$ , and
- $H^0(C, \omega_C \otimes L^{-1}) \otimes H^0(D, \omega_D \otimes M^{-1})$  in  $\omega_{X_{L,M}}$ .

Therefore:

- $X_{L,M}$  **not** flat over  $\text{Pic}^d(C)$  iff  $H^0(D, M) \neq 0$ , and
- $\omega_{X_{L,M}}$  **not** flat over  $\text{Pic}^d(C)$  iff  $H^0(D, \omega_D \otimes M^{-1}) \neq 0$ .

## When is $\omega$ flat?

$X$  proper of dimension  $n$ ,  $L$  ample. Then

- $\omega_X =$  sheaf of  $\bigoplus_m H^0(X, \omega_X \otimes L^m)$ , and
- $H^0(X, \omega_X \otimes L^m)$  is dual to  $H^n(X, L^{-m})$ .

**Corollary.**  $g : X \rightarrow S$  projective, relative dim  $n$ . Then  $\omega_{X/S}$  is flat and commutes with base changes iff  $R^n g_* L^{-m}$  is free for  $m \gg 1$ .

### Principles:

- $\omega_X$  is encoded in the  $H^i(X, L^{-1})$  for all  $L$  ample.
- If need help, ask Sándor Kovács.

## Detour: cyclic covers 1

For  $s \in H^0(X, L^{[m]})$  we have  $\pi : X[\sqrt[m]{s}] \rightarrow X$  as

- $\text{Spec}_X(\mathcal{O}_X \oplus L^{[-1]} \oplus \dots \oplus L^{[1-m]})$ , or as
- $(s=0) \subset \text{Spec}_X \bigoplus_{r \geq 0} L^{[r]}$ .

Note that

- $\pi_* \omega_{X[\sqrt[m]{s}]} \cong \omega_X \oplus \omega_X[\otimes]L \oplus \dots \oplus \omega_X[\otimes]L^{[m-1]}$ .

Thus, if  $L = \omega_X$  then

- $\pi_* \omega_{X[\sqrt[m]{s}]} \cong \omega_X \oplus \dots \oplus \omega_X^{[m]}$ .



## Detour: cyclic covers 2

If  $L$  ample, then

- $L^{-1}$  is direct summand of  $\pi_* \mathcal{O}_{X[\sqrt[m]{S}]}$ , so
- $H^i(X, L^{-1})$  is direct summand of  $H^i(X[\sqrt[m]{S}], \mathcal{O}_{X[\sqrt[m]{S}]})$ .

If  $\omega_X = L$  ample, then

- $\omega_X^{[r]}$  are direct summands of  $\pi_* \omega_{X[\sqrt[m]{S}]}$ , so
- $H^i(X, \omega_X^{[r]})$  are direct summands of  $H^i(X[\sqrt[m]{S}], \omega_{X[\sqrt[m]{S}]})$ .

## When is $\omega$ flat?

Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a class of singularities, closed under

- $X \mapsto X \times \mathbb{A}^1$ , and
- general hyperplane sections  $X \mapsto H \cap X$ ,
- so general cyclic covers with invertible  $L$ .

$\text{Flat}_n(\mathcal{S}) :=$  all  $g : X \rightarrow B$

flat, projective, relative dim  $n$ , fibers in  $\mathcal{S}$ .

**Corollary.** For  $\mathcal{S}$  equivalent:

- $R^n g_* L^{-m}$  is locally free for all ample  $L$ , and for all  $(g : X \rightarrow B) \in \text{Flat}(\mathcal{S})$ .
- $R^n g_* \mathcal{O}_X$  is locally free for all  $(g : X \rightarrow B) \in \text{Flat}(\mathcal{S})$ .

When is  $H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  flat?

Cohomology and base change

Let  $A$  be Artinian with residue field  $k$ , and  $g : X_A \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$  flat, proper.

Equivalent:

- the  $H^i(X_A, \mathcal{O}_{X_A})$  are free  $A$ -modules.
- $H^i(X_A, \mathcal{O}_{X_A}) \otimes_A k \cong H^i(X_k, \mathcal{O}_{X_k})$ .

Illustration for  $A = k[\epsilon]$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H^i(X_k, \mathcal{O}_{X_k}) & \xrightarrow{\epsilon} & H^i(X_A, \mathcal{O}_{X_A}) & \rightarrow & H^i(X_k, \mathcal{O}_{X_k}) \\ H^{i+1}(X_k, \mathcal{O}_{X_k}) & \xrightarrow{\epsilon} & H^{i+1}(X_A, \mathcal{O}_{X_A}) & \rightarrow & H^{i+1}(X_k, \mathcal{O}_{X_k}) \end{array}$$

## Du Bois singularities 1

Global defn (incorrect):

$H^i(X, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$  if  $X$  proper and DB.

**Theorem** (Du Bois–Jarraud, 1974) If  $X_k$  is DB then

$$H^i(X_A, \mathcal{O}_{X_A}) \rightarrow H^i(X_k, \mathcal{O}_{X_k}).$$

( $A$  Artinian with residue field  $k$ )

Proof.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^i(X_A, \mathcal{O}_{X_A}) & \rightarrow & H^i(X_k, \mathcal{O}_{X_k}) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ H^i(X_A, \mathbb{C}) & \rightarrow & H^i(X_k, \mathbb{C}) \end{array}$$

Recall: semi-log-canonical =  
singularities we have on limits of canonical models.

- **Deminormal**:  $X$  only nodes in codimension 1 and  $S_2$   
(so  $\omega_X$  line bundle in codim 1),
- $\omega_X^{[m]}$  is locally free for some  $m > 0$  (with section  $\sigma^m$ ),
- Three equivalent versions:
  - Using resolution I:  $K_Y \sim p^*K_X + (\text{effective}) - E$ ,  
where  $E =$  reduced exceptional divisor.
  - Using resolution II: there is  $p^*\omega_X^{[r]} \rightarrow \omega_Y^{[r]}(rE) \quad \forall r \geq 0$ .
  - Using local volume:  $\int_X \sigma \wedge \bar{\sigma}$  has only  
*logarithmic growth*:  $|\int_X |g|^\epsilon \cdot \sigma \wedge \bar{\sigma}| < \infty$ ,  
for every  $g$  vanishing on  $\text{Sing } X$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ .

## Du Bois singularities 2

### Theorem (Kollár-Kovács, 2010)

*Semi-log-canonical is Du Bois.*

(More generally,  $(X, \Delta)$  slc, then any union of log canonical centers is Du Bois. Kollár-Kovács, 2010, 2020).

**Corollary.** Let  $g : X \rightarrow S$  be flat, fibers slc. Then  $\omega_{X/S}$  is flat over  $S$  and commutes with base change.

What about the other  $\omega_{X/S}^{[r]}$ ?

## Theorem

$X \rightarrow S$  flat with slc fibers,  $S$  reduced and  $\omega_{X/S}^{[m]}$  is locally free for some  $m > 0$ . Then all  $\omega_{X/S}^{[r]}$  are flat and commute with base change.

Proof. Assume  $S = C$  is a smooth curve and  $\omega_{X/C}^{[m]}$  is free.

Take  $\pi : X[\sqrt[m]{S}] \rightarrow X$ .

Reid's lemma:  $X[\sqrt[m]{S}]$  is log canonical

Elkik, ...:  $\omega_{X[\sqrt[m]{S}]/C}$  has  $S_2$  fibers

Recall: The  $\omega_{X/C}^{[r]}$  are direct summands of  $\pi_* \omega_{X[\sqrt[m]{S}]/C}$ .

So fibers of  $\omega_{X/C}^{[r]}$  agree with  $\omega_{X_c}^{[r]}$ .

## Back to definition of stable morphisms 1

The definition of 'stable morphism' included:

(\*) The  $\omega_{X/B}^{[r]}$  are flat and commute with base change.

## Thesis

*In defining stable morphisms:*

- 1 *over smooth curves, we proved (\*),*
- 2 *over reduced bases, (\*) works out, and*
- 3 *over general bases, we have to require (\*).*



## Back to definition of stable morphisms 2

**Theorem.** (Altmann–Kollár, 2019) For many cyclic quotients  $S_0 = \mathbb{C}^2/\frac{1}{n}(1, q)$  there are flat deformations  $S \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$  for  $A := \mathbb{C}[\epsilon]$ , such that,

- $\omega_{S/A}^{[n]}$  is free, but
- $\omega_{S/A}^{[r]}$  is not flat if  $r \not\equiv 0, 1 \pmod n$ .

**Corollary** The assumption (\*):

“the  $\omega_{X/B}^{[r]}$  are flat over  $B$ ”

needs to be added by hand for families of surfaces.

## Stability in codimension 3

### Theorem (Kollár–Kovács, 2023)

*Stability is automatic in codimension  $\geq 3$ .*

That is:

Let  $f : X \rightarrow B$  be flat and finite type, such that

- fibers are semi-log-canonical, and
- locally stable in codim  $\leq 2$  (in each fiber).

Then locally stable everywhere.

**Note.** Can allow non-flatness in codim  $\geq 3$ .

**Question.** Is this true for pairs  $(X, \Delta)$ ?

## Key Theorem

**Key Theorem.** Let  $f : X \rightarrow B$  be finite type, such that

- flat with Du Bois fibers in codim  $\leq 2$  (in each fiber),
- fibers have Du Bois (partial) normalization.

Then  $\omega_{X/B}$  is flat and commutes with base change.

**Surprise.** We can not show that  $\mathcal{O}_X$  is flat.

**Lemma.**  $\pi : \bar{Y} \rightarrow Y$  isom in codim  $\leq 1$ . Then

$$\pi_* \omega_{\bar{Y}} \cong \omega_Y.$$

## Proof of Key Theorem, slide 1

As before, one ingredient is the following:

**Claim.** Let  $A$  be Artinian with residue field  $k$ , and

$g : X_A \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$ , proper, pure dim  $n$ .

Then  $H^n(X_A, \mathcal{O}_{X_A})$  is a free  $A$ -module if

- $g$  is flat in codimension  $\leq 2$ , and
- $H^i(X_k, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H^i(X_k, \mathcal{O}_{X_k})$  for  $i = n, n-1$ .

Illustration for  $A = k[\epsilon]$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H^{n-1}(X_k, \mathcal{O}_{X_k}) & \xrightarrow{\epsilon} & H^{n-1}(X_A, \mathcal{O}_{X_A}) & \rightarrow & H^{n-1}(X_k, \mathcal{O}_{X_k}) \\ H^n(X_k, \mathcal{O}_{X_k}) & \xrightarrow{\epsilon} & H^n(X_A, \mathcal{O}_{X_A}) & \rightarrow & H^n(X_k, \mathcal{O}_{X_k}) \end{array}$$

## Proof of Key Theorem, slide 2

$Y$ : pure dim  $n$ , (embedded points allowed)

$\tau: \bar{Y} \rightarrow Y$  partial normalization.

**Claim**  $H^i(Y, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H^i(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$  for  $i = n, n-1$  if

- $\bar{Y}$  is Du Bois,
- $\tau: \bar{Y} \rightarrow Y$  is a homeomorphism, and
- $\tau: \bar{Y} \rightarrow Y$  is isomorphism in codimension  $\leq 2$ .

Proof.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^i(Y, \mathbb{C}) & \rightarrow & H^i(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H^i(\bar{Y}, \mathbb{C}) & \rightarrow & H^i(\bar{Y}, \mathcal{O}_{\bar{Y}}) \end{array}$$

Does this ever happen?

## Slc version of Key Theorem

( $A$  Artinian case)

Let  $f : X \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$  be finite type, such that

- slc (partial) normalization  $\bar{X}_k \rightarrow X_k$ , and
- locally stable in codim  $\leq 2$  (in each fiber).

Then  $\omega_{X/B}$  is flat and commutes with base change.

Thus, if  $\omega_{\bar{X}_k}$  is locally free (and  $X$  is  $S_2$ ), then:

- $\omega_{X/A}$  is flat and locally free (!),
- $\mathcal{O}_X$  is flat, and
- all the  $\omega_{X/A}^r$  are flat and locally free.
- So  $f : X \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$  is locally stable.

How to make  $\omega$  locally free?

**Lemma.** If  $\omega_U^{[m]}$  is free, take cyclic cover

$$\pi : \bar{U} := \text{Spec}_U(\mathcal{O}_U \oplus \omega_U \oplus \cdots \oplus \omega_U^{[m-1]}) \rightarrow U.$$

Then  $\omega_{\bar{U}}$  is free.

Proof. We know that  $\pi_* \omega_{\bar{U}} \cong \bigoplus_{i=0}^{m-1} \text{Hom}_U(\omega_U^{[i]}, \omega_U)$

and we have 1 as a section of the  $i = 1$  summand

$$\text{Hom}_U(\omega_U, \omega_U) \cong \mathcal{O}_U.$$

## Stability in codimension 3 – proof 1

Induction + working locally, assume that:

- $\omega_{X_k}^{[m]}$  is free, and
- $X \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$  is stable on  $U := X \setminus \{x\}$ .

So  $\omega_{X/A}^{[-m]} \in \text{kernel of } \text{Pic}(U) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(U_k)$ .

Fact: this kernel is a vector space, so divisible.

Thus there is a unique line bundle  $L_U$  on  $U$   
(with push-forward  $L$  on  $X$ ) such that

$$L_{U_k} \sim \mathcal{O}_{U_k} \text{ and } (\omega_{X/A} \otimes L)^{[-m]} \cong \mathcal{O}_X.$$

**Note.**  $L$  flat/ $A$  iff free.

Cyclic cover  $\pi : Y := \text{Spec}_X \bigoplus_{i=0}^{m-1} (\omega_{X/A} \otimes L)^{[i]} \rightarrow X$ .



## Stability in codimension 3 – proof 2

$$\pi : Y := \text{Spec}_X \bigoplus_{i=0}^{m-1} (\omega_{X/A} \otimes L)^{[i]} \rightarrow X.$$

Over  $U_k$  we have  $\text{Spec}_{U_k} \bigoplus_{i=0}^{m-1} \omega_{U_k}^{[i]}$ , so

$$\bar{Y}_k \cong \text{Spec}_{X_k} \bigoplus_{i=0}^{m-1} \omega_{X_k}^{[i]} \rightarrow Y_k \text{ is partial normalization.}$$

Note:  $Y_k$  could have embedded points over  $x$ !

By slc version of Key Theorem:  $\omega_{Y/A}$  and  $\mathcal{O}_Y$  are both flat.

$\pi_* \omega_{Y/A}$  has a summand  $\text{Hom}_U(\omega_X \otimes L, \omega_X) \cong L^{[-1]}$ ,  
so  $L^{[-1]}$  flat/A, and  $L \cong \mathcal{O}_X$ .

So  $\pi_* \mathcal{O}_Y \cong \bigoplus_{i=0}^{m-1} \omega_{X/A}^{[i]}$ , and all summands are flat.

## Definition of Du Bois

There is a filtered complex  $\underline{\Omega}_X^\bullet$  that computes the mixed Hodge structure on  $H^\bullet(X, \mathbb{C})$ , hence

$H^i(X, \mathbb{C}) \twoheadrightarrow H^i(X, \underline{\Omega}_X^\circ)$  for any proper  $X$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^i(X, \mathbb{C}) & \twoheadrightarrow & H^i(X, \underline{\Omega}_X^\circ) \\ \downarrow & \nearrow & \\ H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X) & & \end{array}$$

Correct definition of Du Bois:

$\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \underline{\Omega}_X^\circ$  is a quasi-isomorphism.

## Local cohomology lifting

$A$  Artinian with residue field  $k$

$g : X \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$  finite type (not assumed flat)

**Theorem** (Kollár-Kovács, 2020) Assume

- 1 either  $\text{char} = 0$  and  $X_k$  is Du Bois,
- 2 or  $\text{char} > 0$  and  $X_k$  is F-pure.

Then for every  $x \in X$  and  $i$ :

$$H_x^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \twoheadrightarrow H_x^i(X_k, \mathcal{O}_{X_k}).$$

Families of algebraic varieties

Felix Klein Lecture # 5

János Kollár

K-flatness

Families of algebraic varieties  
Felix Klein Lecture # 5  
János Kollár

K-flatness

Why K?

Families of algebraic varieties

Felix Klein Lecture # 5

János Kollár

**K-flatness**

Why K?

Originally had C-flat for Cayley, but needed new notion.

Families of algebraic varieties

Felix Klein Lecture # 5

János Kollár

K-flatness

Why K?

Originally had C-flat for Cayley, but needed new notion.

K = Cayley.

## Moduli of pairs $(X, \Delta)$

**Objects.** Replace  $K_X$  by  $K_X + \sum a_i D_i$ .

**Families.**  $g : (X, \Delta = \sum a_i D_i) \rightarrow S$  such that

- fibers are stable pairs,
- $K_{X/S} + \sum a_i D_i$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier, and
- the  $D_i$  are ????.

For 30 years we had a theory where a basic definition was not known.

Answer given finally in (K. 2019).



For ???? flatness is too much

**Example** (Hassett, 1993)

Smooth quadric degenerates to quadric cone:

$$(xy + z^2 - t^2u^2 = 0) \subset \mathbb{P}^3 \times \mathbb{A}^1.$$

$$D_0 = L_0 + \frac{1}{2}(L'_0 + L''_0) \text{ (lines through vertex)}$$

$$D_t = L_t + \frac{1}{2}(L'_t + L''_t) \text{ (where } L'_t \cap L''_t = \emptyset).$$

Note:  $\chi(L'_0 + L''_0) = 1$ , but  $\chi(L'_t + L''_t) = 2$ .

(Can get irreducible examples too.)

Coefficients  $> \frac{1}{2}$  – slide 1

## Theorem (Kollár, 2014)

Let  $(X, \sum_{i \in I} a_i D_i) \rightarrow S$  be stable, with  $S$  reduced.  
Assume that  $a_i > \frac{1}{2}$ . Then, for every  $J \subset I$ ,

$$\bigcup_{i \in J} D_i \rightarrow S$$

is flat with reduced fibers.

Coefficients  $> \frac{1}{2}$  – slide 2

**Corollary.** If  $a_i > \frac{1}{2}$ , we can handle the moduli problem as

- $X \rightarrow S$  is flat, and
- ???? := flat, so the  $D_i$  are in  $\text{Hilb}(X/S)$ .

**However**, this is **not** possible if  $a_i \leq \frac{1}{2}$ .

## Mumford divisors — 1

$g : X \rightarrow S$  projective of pure relative dim  $n$ .

**Definition.**  $D \subset X$  a relative Mumford divisor iff

(\*)  $g$  smooth and  $D$  is Cartier at  $\eta_s$ ,

for all  $s \in S$  and all generic points  $\eta_s \in D_s$ .

**Corollary.**  $D_s$  defined as a divisor on  $X_s$ :

Cartier at generic points, then take closure.

**Warning.**

$D_s$  is **not** the scheme-theoretic fiber.

The later can have embedded subschemes.

## Mumford divisors — 2

### Thesis

*Over reduced bases, the correct higher dimensional analogs of flat families of pointed stable curves are:*

*Stable families  $g : (X, \sum a_i D_i) \rightarrow S$ ,*

*where the  $D_i \rightarrow S$  are Mumford.*

## Mumford divisors over $k[\epsilon]$ — example

**Local version.**  $\text{Pic}(\mathbb{A}_{k[\epsilon]}^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\})$  is **infinite** dimensional.

Example:  $I_n := (x^2, xy^n + \epsilon, \epsilon x) \subset k[x, y, \epsilon]$ . Note that

$k[x, y, \epsilon]/I_n \cong k[x, y]/(x^2)$ , but

$k[x, y, \epsilon]/(I_n, \epsilon) \cong k[x, y]/(x^2, xy^n)$  with

torsion ideal:  $\langle x, xy, \dots, xy^{n-1} \rangle$ .

**Projective version.**

The space of Mumford divisors  $D \subset \mathbb{P}_{k[\epsilon]}^2$  such that

$D_k = (\text{line}) + (\text{embedded points})$  is **infinite** dimensional.

## K-flatness — first definition

Let  $g : D \rightarrow S$  be projective, pure relative dimension  $n-1$ .

Assume that at generic points of each fiber

- $g$  is flat, and
- embedding dimension of fiber  $\leq n$ .

Assume first:  $S$  local with infinite residue field.

### Definition

$g : D \rightarrow S$  is *K-flat* iff all\* images  $D \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_S^n$  are flat over  $S$ .

In general: the previous holds for all  
localization + residue field extension.

## Thesis

*The correct higher dimensional analogs of flat families of pointed stable curves are:*

*Stable families  $g : (X, \sum a_i D_i) \rightarrow S$ ,*

*where the  $D_i \rightarrow S$  are **K-flat**.*



## Thesis

*The correct higher dimensional analogs of flat families of pointed stable curves are:*

*Stable families  $g : (X, \sum a_i D_i) \rightarrow S$ ,*

*where the  $D_i \rightarrow S$  are **K-flat**.*

However, although

K-flatness is a surprisingly good property,  
there could be other possibilities.

## Example – plane curves over $k[\epsilon]$

Start with  $C := (f(x, y) = 0)$ .

Flat defs:  $f(x, y) = \psi(x, y)\epsilon$  where  $\psi \in k[x, y]$

## Example – plane curves over $k[\epsilon]$

Start with  $C := (f(x, y) = 0)$ .

Flat defs:  $f(x, y) = \psi(x, y)\epsilon$  where  $\psi \in k[x, y]$

Flat defs of  $C \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ :

$$(*) \quad f(x, y) = \psi(x, y)\epsilon, \quad z = \phi(x, y)\epsilon$$

where  $\psi, \phi$  regular on  $C \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ .

**Theorem.**  $(*)$  is K-flat iff  $\psi$  is regular on  $C$  and

- $f_x\phi, f_y\phi$  are regular on  $C$ .

**Example.** Monomial curve  $(x^c = y^a)$  or  $t \mapsto (t^a, t^c)$ .

- becomes:  $t^{ac-a}\phi(t), t^{ac-c}\phi(t) \in k[t^a, t^c]$ .

Get  $(a-1)(c-1)$ -dim family of K-flat but non-flat defs.

## Cayley coordinates

called:

*Cayley form* in Hodge–Pedoe

*Zugeordnete Form* by van der Waerden

*coordonnées de Chow* in French

## Cayley coordinates

called:

*Cayley form* in Hodge–Pedoe

*Zugeordnete Form* by van der Waerden

*coordonnées de Chow* in French

“cette horreur de coordonnées de Chow”

Serre letter to Grothendieck, 1956

## Cayley flatness – 1

$C \subset \mathbb{P}^3$  a curve.

Cayley hypersurface (Cayley, 1860):

$$\text{Ca}(C) := \{L \in \text{Grass}(1, 3) : L \cap C \neq \emptyset\}.$$

Note that

$$\text{Ca}(C) =$$

$$\cup_{p \in \mathbb{P}^3} (\text{lines through } p \text{ that meet } C) =$$

$$\cup_{p \in \mathbb{P}^3} (\text{image of projection of } C \text{ from } p).$$

## Cayley flatness – 2

$Z \subset \mathbb{P}^N$  of pure dimension  $n - 1$

Cayley hypersurface:

$$\text{Ca}(Z) := \{L \in \text{Grass}(N-n, N) : L \cap Z \neq \emptyset\}.$$

Set  $G := \text{Grass}(N-n-1, N)$  (=projection centers).

Note that

$$\text{Ca}(Z) =$$

$$\cup_{M \in G} (L \text{ through } M \text{ that meet } Z) =$$

$$\cup_{M \in G} (\text{image of projection of } Z \text{ from } M) =$$

$$\cup (\text{images of all projections } Z \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n).$$

## Cayley flatness – Basic Theorems (Kollár, 2019)

**Theorem 1.** One can extend the definition of Cayley hypersurface to families  $D \subset \mathbb{P}_S^N$ , assuming that

- pure relative dimension  $n - 1$ ,
- $g$  is flat at generic points of each fiber, and
- fibers have embedding dimension  $\leq n$  at generic points.

**Theorem 2.** Assume  $S$  local with infinite residue field. The following are equivalent:

- $\text{Ca}(D) \rightarrow S$  is flat over  $S$ .
- the images of all\* projections  $D \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_S^n$  are flat over  $S$ .
- the images of general projections  $D \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_S^n$  are flat/ $S$ .

This is called **C-flatness**.



## Cayley flatness – five versions – 1

**C-flatness:** all linear projections  $D \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_S^n$ .

**Stable C-flatness:** all linear projections composed with  
Veronese embeddings  $D \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_S^n$ .

**K-flatness:** all morphisms  $D \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_S^n$ .

**Local K-flatness:** all local morphisms  $D \supset D^\circ \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_S^n$ .

**Formal K-flatness:** all morphisms after all completions  
 $\widehat{D} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{A}}_S^n$ .

## Cayley flatness – five versions – 1

**C-flatness:** all linear projections  $D \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_S^n$ .

**Stable C-flatness:** all linear projections composed with  
Veronese embeddings  $D \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_S^n$ .

**K-flatness:** all morphisms  $D \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_S^n$ .

**Local K-flatness:** all local morphisms  $D \supset D^\circ \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_S^n$ .

**Formal K-flatness:** all morphisms after all completions  
 $\widehat{D} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{A}}_S^n$ .

**Conjecture.** They are all equivalent.

## Cayley flatness – five versions – 2

**C-flatness:** all linear projections  $D \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_S^n$ .

**Stable C-flatness:** all linear projections composed with  
Veronese embeddings  $D \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_S^n$ .

**K-flatness:** all morphisms  $D \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_S^n$ .

**Local K-flatness:** all local morphisms  $D \supset D^\circ \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_S^n$ .

**Formal K-flatness:** all morphisms after completion  
 $\hat{D} \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{A}}_S^n$ .

**Theorem.** The red ones are equivalent.

## Cayley flatness – five versions – 3

Some subtle points:

- A morphism  $D_S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_S^n$  may not extend to  $D \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_S^n$ .

## Cayley flatness – five versions – 3

Some subtle points:

- A morphism  $D_S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_S^n$  may not extend to  $D \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_S^n$ .

- There is no Noether normalization in families:

$U \rightarrow S$  affine of dim 1, may not be a finite morphism  
 $U \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_S^1$ .

## K-flatness — good properties

$g : X \rightarrow S$  projective of pure relative dim  $n$ , and  
 $D \subset X$  a relative Mumford divisor.

- flat  $\Rightarrow$  K-flat.
- $D \rightarrow S$  is K-flat  $\Leftrightarrow D_A \rightarrow A$  are K-flat  $\forall$  Artinian  $A$ .
- if  $g$  is smooth, then flat  $\Leftrightarrow$  K-flat.
- if  $D_s$  are normal, then flat  $\Leftrightarrow$  K-flat.
- if  $S$  is reduced, then Mumford  $\Leftrightarrow$  K-flat.

## K-flatness — good properties

$g : X \rightarrow S$  projective of pure relative dim  $n$ , and  
 $D \subset X$  a relative Mumford divisor.

- flat  $\Rightarrow$  K-flat.
- $D \rightarrow S$  is K-flat  $\Leftrightarrow D_A \rightarrow A$  are K-flat  $\forall$  Artinian  $A$ .
- if  $g$  is smooth, then flat  $\Leftrightarrow$  K-flat.
- if  $D_s$  are normal, then flat  $\Leftrightarrow$  K-flat.
- if  $S$  is reduced, then Mumford  $\Leftrightarrow$  K-flat.
- if  $D_i$  are K-flat then  $\sum D_i$  is K-flat.
- $D$  is K-flat  $\Leftrightarrow mD$  is K-flat (if  $p \nmid m$ ).
- preserved by linear equivalence.

## K-flatness — Bertini theorem

$g : X \rightarrow S$  projective of pure relative dim  $n \geq 3$ , and  
 $D \subset X$  a relative Mumford divisor.  
 $H \in |H|$  general, very ample.



## K-flatness — Bertini theorem

$g : X \rightarrow S$  projective of pure relative dim  $n \geq 3$ , and  
 $D \subset X$  a relative Mumford divisor.  
 $H \in |H|$  general, very ample.

### Theorem (Up-down Bertini theorem)

$D$  is  $K$ -flat iff  $D|_H$  is  $K$ -flat.

Main reason:  $\text{Pic}(\mathbb{A}_A^n \setminus \{0\}) = 0$  for  $n \geq 3$ ,  $A$  Artinian.  
(see Lecture 6).

## K-flatness — Bertini theorem

$g : X \rightarrow S$  projective of pure relative dim  $n \geq 3$ , and  
 $D \subset X$  a relative Mumford divisor.  
 $H \in |H|$  general, very ample.

### Theorem (Up-down Bertini theorem)

$D$  is  $K$ -flat iff  $D|_H$  is  $K$ -flat.

Main reason:  $\text{Pic}(\mathbb{A}_A^n \setminus \{0\}) = 0$  for  $n \geq 3$ ,  $A$  Artinian.  
(see Lecture 6).

### Corollary

*K-flatness is about divisors on surfaces.*

## Computing projections — 1

Note:

$\{\text{roots of } f(t)\} = \{\text{eigenvectors of } t \cdot \text{ on } k[t]/(f)\}$

**Claim.**  $M$  finite  $R[t]$ -algebra (or module).

Assume  $M$  is free over  $R$ :  $M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n e_i R$ .

Write  $t \cdot e_i = \sum r_{ij} e_j$  with  $r_{ij} \in R$ .

Then, the equation of projection to  $\text{Spec } R[t]$  is

$$\det(1_n t - (r_{ij})) = 0.$$

## Computing projections — 2

We project to  $\text{Spec } A[[u, v]]$  with  $A$  Artinian.

May assume:  $M := \mathcal{O}_D$  is

- finite over  $A[[u]]$ , and
- free over  $A((u))$  of rank say  $n$ .

So our equation is:

$$\det(1_n v - (r_{ij}(u))) = 0, \text{ where } r_{ij}(u) \in A((u)).$$

The projection is

- flat over  $A[[u]] \Leftrightarrow$  the equation is in  $A[[u, v]]$ .

## Proof of: K-flat = stable C-flat: slide 1

Using Up-down Bertini theorem  
reduces to dimension 1.

Main advantage of dim 1: can ignore high terms:

For  $f(u), g(u) \in \mathbb{C}((u))$ , we have

$$fg \in \mathbb{C}[[u]] \Leftrightarrow (f + u^M)(g + u^M) \in \mathbb{C}[[u]] \text{ for } M \gg 1.$$

2 dim example:

$$\frac{1}{u - \sin v} \cdot (u - \sin v + v^M) \text{ never in } \mathbb{C}[[u, v]]$$

## Proof of: K-flat = stable C-flat: slide 2

Maps of  $\mathbb{A}_{uv}^2$  to  $\mathbb{A}_u^1$  used for:

*C-flatness:*  $(u, v) \mapsto (u, au + bv)$ .

*K-flatness:*  $(u, v) \mapsto (u, \phi(u, v))$ , where  $\phi$  power series,

*C-flatness with  $d$ th Veronese:*  $(u, v) \mapsto (u, h(u, v))$ ,  
where  $\deg h \leq d$ .

**Lemma.** Given a holomorphic  $\phi(u, v)$  with matrix  $(r_{ij}(u))$   
there is a polynomial  $\phi'(u, v)$  with matrix  $(r'_{ij}(u))$ , s.t.  
$$r_{ij}(u) \equiv r'_{ij}(u) \pmod{u^M} \quad (M \gg 1)$$

**Corollary.**

$$\det(1_n v - (r_{ij})) \in A[[u, v]] \Leftrightarrow \det(1_n v - (r'_{ij})) \in A[[u, v]]$$

Families of algebraic varieties  
Felix Klein Lecture # 6  
János Kollár

Positive characteristic

## New phenomena

- Jumps in plurigenera, hence non-flatness of families of canonical models.
- There are too many  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier divisors.



## Open question from Lecture 3

*Version 1.* Are the  $h^0(X, \omega_X^m)$  deformation invariant?

*Version 2.* Is there a natural transformation

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{smooth families} \\ \text{of varieties of} \\ \text{general type} \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{flat families of} \\ \text{canonical models} \end{array} \right\} ?$$

In char  $p$ :

- Open for  $\dim \geq 3$ .
- Fail for pairs (with mild singularities).

## Jump in plurigenera 1

$g : X \rightarrow C$  smooth, projective. Fiberwise canonical models:

$$X_c \mapsto X_c^{\text{can}} := \text{Proj} \bigoplus H^0(X_c, \omega_{X_c}^m).$$

Canonical models form flat family iff, for  $m \gg 1$ ,

$$c \mapsto P_m(X_c) := h^0(X_c, \omega_{X_c}^m) \text{ is constant.}$$

Many known examples where finitely many  $P_m$  jump:

Katsura–Ueno (1985): elliptic surfaces,

Suh (2008): ample  $K$

First example with infinitely many  $P_m$  jump:

Brivio (2020): elliptic surfaces  $(S, \Delta)$ .

## Jump in plurigenera – plan of example

- jump of  $H^0(S_c, \mathcal{O}_{S_c}(m))$  for ruled surfaces,
- from  $S_c$  to 3-folds with  $K_{X_c} + \Delta_c$  semi-ample and big,
- $X^{\text{can}} := \text{Proj}_{\mathcal{C}} \oplus g_* \mathcal{O}_X(mK_{X/C} + \lfloor m\Delta \rfloor) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  exists,
- $(X_0)^{\text{can}} \rightarrow (X^{\text{can}})_0$  is a birational homeomorphism, but purely inseparable over a single curve  $\mathbb{P}^1 \subset (X^{\text{can}})_0$ .

## Jump in plurigenera – plan of example

- jump of  $H^0(S_c, \mathcal{O}_{S_c}(m))$  for ruled surfaces,
- from  $S_c$  to 3-folds with  $K_{X_c} + \Delta_c$  semi-ample and big,
- $X^{\text{can}} := \text{Proj}_{\mathcal{C}} \oplus g_* \mathcal{O}_X(mK_{X/C} + \lfloor m\Delta \rfloor) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  exists,
- $(X_0)^{\text{can}} \rightarrow (X^{\text{can}})_0$  is a birational homeomorphism, but purely inseparable over a single curve  $\mathbb{P}^1 \subset (X^{\text{can}})_0$ .
- Unexpected:  $X_0$  and  $(X_0)^{\text{can}}$  lift to char 0, so Kodaira vanishing holds on them.

## $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundles on $E$ – slide 1

Fix  $E$  elliptic curve and  $\mathcal{O}_E \rightarrow F \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_E$  non-split. Get

$\pi : S \rightarrow E$ :  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle with section  $D \cong E$ .

Note:  $(D^2) = 0$  and  $K_S \sim -2D$ .

**Claim.**  $h^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S(mD)) = 1$  if  $\text{char} = 0$ , and

$h^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S(pD)) = 2$  if  $\text{char} = p > 0$ .

Proof. Let  $C \in |mD|$  be irreducible, reduced curve.

Then  $(C \cdot K_S) = -2(C \cdot D) = 0$ . So  $p_a(C) = 1$ .

Projection:  $\pi_C : C \rightarrow E$  finite, so  $C$  elliptic.

Key:  $\pi_C^* S$  has 2 sections:  $C$  and  $D$ . So  $\pi_C^* F$  is split.

$\Leftrightarrow \pi_C^* : H^1(E, \mathcal{O}_E) \rightarrow H^1(C, \mathcal{O}_C)$  is zero map.

## $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundles on $E$ – slide 2

Char 0:  $\frac{1}{\deg \pi}$  Trace splits  $\mathcal{O}_E \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C$ , so

$$H^1(E, \mathcal{O}_E) \hookrightarrow H^1(C, \mathcal{O}_C).$$

Char  $p$ : there is a  $C \rightarrow E$  of degree  $p$  such that

$$H^1(E, \mathcal{O}_E) \rightarrow H^1(C, \mathcal{O}_C) \text{ is zero map.}$$

(iff  $\text{Pic}(E) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(C)$  is inseparable)

**Corollary.**  $|pD| : S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  is an elliptic surface,

(with a wild fiber  $pD$ ).

## $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundles on $E$ – slide 3

- Choose  $\{S_t : t \in \mathbb{A}^1\}$  such that  $S_t \cong S$  for  $t \neq 0$  and  $S_0 \cong E \times \mathbb{P}^1$ .

We have  $\{D_t \subset S_t\}$  such that

$$\dim |pD_t| = 1 \text{ for } t \neq 0 \text{ and } \dim |pD_0| = p.$$

- Set  $\Delta := \frac{1}{np}$ (sum of  $3n$  general members of  $|pD|$ ).
- Then  $K_{S_t} + \Delta_t \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} D_t$ .

**Conclusion.** All sufficiently large (log) plurigenera of  $(S_t, \Delta_t)$  jump at  $t = 0$ .

## McKernan trick

Start with  $(S, \Delta_S)$  and  $X := \text{Proj}_S(\mathcal{O}_S + \mathcal{O}_S(1))$

Pull-back:  $\Delta_X$  and  $E \subset X$  negative section.

$H :=$  sum of (at least 3) general positive sections.

**Claim.**  $(X, H + E + \Delta_X)$  is (log) general type, and

$$\begin{aligned} & H^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S(mK_S + \lfloor m\Delta_S \rfloor)) \\ & \quad \parallel \\ & H^0(E, \mathcal{O}_E(mK_E + \lfloor m\Delta_E \rfloor)) \\ & \quad \downarrow \text{(direct summand)} \\ & H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(mK_X + m(E + H) + \lfloor m\Delta_X \rfloor)). \end{aligned}$$

Proof:  $0 \rightarrow \omega_X \rightarrow \omega_X(E) \rightarrow \omega_E \rightarrow 0$  and  $\mathbb{C}^\times$ -action.



## Jump in plurigenera – conclusion

Set  $\Theta := H_t + E_t + \Delta_t$ . We have

$\{(X_t, \Theta_t) : t \in \mathbb{A}^1\}$  such that

$(X_t, \Theta_t) \rightarrow (X_t^{\text{can}}, \Theta_t^{\text{can}})$  isom off  $E_t$ , and induces

$|D_0| : S_0 \cong E_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  for  $t = 0$ , and

$|pD_t| : S_t \cong E_t \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  for  $t \neq 0$ .

Thus the flat limit of  $|pD_t|$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$  is

$$S_0 \cong E_0 \xrightarrow{|D_0|} \mathbb{P}^1 \xrightarrow{\text{Frob}} \mathbb{P}^1.$$

Note: only  $X_0$  lifts to char 0.

## Lauritzen–Kovács–Totaro–Bernasconi type examples

Homogeneous spaces  $X = X_t$  degenerate to  $X_0 :=$  cone over a hyperplane section.

In some cases with *non-reduced* stabilizer:

- Kodaira vanishing fails on  $X$ ,
- $X_0$  not CM at vertex, and
- does not lift to char 0.

Strongest examples:  $\pi : Y \rightarrow C$  such that

- $K_Y$  is  $\pi$ -ample,
- $Y_c$  smooth for  $c \neq 0$ ,
- $\bar{Y}_0$  has canonical singularities,
- $\bar{Y}_0 \rightarrow Y_0$  is isomorphism, except at a single point,
- dimension  $\sim$  twice the characteristic.

## Lauritzen–Kovács–Totaro–Bernasconi type examples

Homogeneous spaces  $X = X_t$  degenerate to  $X_0 :=$  cone over a hyperplane section.

In some cases with *non-reduced* stabilizer:

- Kodaira vanishing fails on  $X$ ,
- $X_0$  not CM at vertex, and
- does not lift to char 0.

Strongest examples:  $\pi : Y \rightarrow C$  such that

- $K_Y$  is  $\pi$ -ample,
- $Y_c$  smooth for  $c \neq 0$ ,
- $\bar{Y}_0$  has canonical singularities,
- $\bar{Y}_0 \rightarrow Y_0$  is isomorphism, except at a single point,
- dimension  $\sim$  twice the characteristic.

Problems occur even in the **interior** of the moduli space!

## Open questions

**Main Question.** How to define stable families in char  $p$ ?

**Question 2.** Surfaces in chars 2,3,5? For char  $\geq 7$ :

Patakfalvi (2017), Arvidsson–Bernasconi–Patakfalvi (2023)

**Question 3.** Plurigenera of smooth 3-folds? (without  $\Delta$ )

**Question 4.** Semi-stable reduction? (even for surfaces!)

Difficulty 2:

There are too many  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier divisors

## Picard group over $k[\epsilon]$

$U_A \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$  flat over  $A = k[\epsilon]$

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{U_0} \xrightarrow{\epsilon} \mathcal{O}_{U_A} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{U_0} \rightarrow 0$$

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{U_0} \xrightarrow{1+\epsilon} \mathcal{O}_{U_A}^\times \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{U_0}^\times \rightarrow 1$$

$$H^1(U_0, \mathcal{O}_{U_0}) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(U_A) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(U_0)$$

$(x, X_A) \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$  isolated singularity,  $U_A := X_A \setminus \{x\}$ :

$$H_x^2(X_0, \mathcal{O}_{X_0}) = H^1(U_0, \mathcal{O}_{U_0}) \rightarrow \text{Pic}^{\text{loc}}(X_A) \rightarrow \text{Pic}^{\text{loc}}(X_0)$$

## Local Picard group over $k[\epsilon]$

$$H_x^2(X_0, \mathcal{O}_{X_0}) \rightarrow \text{Pic}^{\text{loc}}(X_A) \rightarrow \text{Pic}^{\text{loc}}(X_0)$$

**Claim.**  $H_x^2(X_0, \mathcal{O}_{X_0})$  is

- $k^\infty$  if  $\dim X_0 = 2$ ,
- 0 if  $\dim X_0 \geq 3$  and CM,
- $k^{\text{finite}}$  if  $\dim X_0 \geq 3$ .

**Corollary.** If  $L_0 \in \text{Pic}^{\text{loc}}(X_0)$  is torsion, then:

- unique torsion lifting if  $\text{char } k = 0$ , and
- all liftings torsion if  $\text{char } k > 0$ .

## $K_X$ in local Picard group — typical example

**Example.** Take  $X \subset \mathbb{P}^5 \times \mathbb{A}^1$  such that

$X_0 =$  cone over deg 4 rational normal curve, and

$X_t = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  (embedded by  $\mathcal{O}(2, 1)$ ).

Then, for  $X_n \subset \mathbb{P}^5 \times \text{Spec } k[t]/(t^{n+1})$ ,

- $2K_{X_0}$  is Cartier,
- $K_X$  is not  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier,
- $K_{X_1}$  is not  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier if  $\text{char } k = 0$ , and
- $K_{X_n}$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier  $\forall n$  if  $\text{char } k > 0$ .



Aside:  $K_X$  in local Picard group – Lee–Nakayama (2018)

$K_{X/C}$  is the only possible  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier lifting of  $K_{X_0}$

**Theorem.**  $X \rightarrow (0, C)$  flat,  $X_0$  is slc,  $\text{char}=0$ .

$D$ :  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier divisor such that  $D_0 \sim K_{X_0}$ .

Then  $D \sim K_{X/C} + (\text{Cartier divisor})$ .

## Moduli consequences of too many $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier divisors

## Points on $\mathbb{P}^1$ – slide 1

*Objects over  $\bar{k}$ :*  $\mathbb{P}^1$  plus  $n$  unordered points.

*Objects over  $k$ :* Smooth, geometrically rational curve, plus a reduced subscheme of length  $n$ .

*Families:*  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle  $P_S \rightarrow S$  plus  $D \subset P_S$ , a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier divisor of degree  $n$  over  $S$ .

*Bases:* Reduced only.

## Points on $\mathbb{P}^1$ – slide 1

*Objects over  $\bar{k}$ :*  $\mathbb{P}^1$  plus  $n$  unordered points.

*Objects over  $k$ :* Smooth, geometrically rational curve, plus a reduced subscheme of length  $n$ .

*Families:*  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle  $P_S \rightarrow S$  plus  $D \subset P_S$ , a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier divisor of degree  $n$  over  $S$ .

*Bases:* Reduced only.

**Theorem.** The categorical moduli space is  $M_{0,n}^{\mathbb{Q}} \cong \text{Spec } k$ .

## Comments

Note that we assume:

*Families:*  $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle  $P_S \rightarrow S$  plus  $D \subset P_S$ , a  
**Q-Cartier** divisor of degree  $n$  over  $S$ .

Insisting on **Cartier** would fix the problem here.

However, in higher dimensions we do have **non-Cartier** limits, so **Q-Cartier** is the strongest we can require.

## Points on $\mathbb{P}^1$ – Descending families

Start with:

- $B$  smooth curve and  $D \subset \mathbb{P}^1 \times B$  degree  $n$  Cartier divisor,
- $\pi : B \rightarrow B'$  birational with  $B'$  higher cusps only  
(for example  $k[t^m, t^{m+1}]$ )

New family:

- $\mathbb{P}^1 \times B'$  and  $D' := (\pi, 1_P)_* D$ .

**Claim.**  $D'$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier if  $\text{char} = p > 0$ .

Proof: For  $q > m^2$  factors as  $\text{Frob}_q : B \xrightarrow{\pi} B' \xrightarrow{\tau} B$ .

So  $q \cdot D' = q \cdot (\pi, 1_P)_* D = (\tau, 1_P)^* D$ .

## Points on $\mathbb{P}^1$ – Descending families

Start with:

- $B$  smooth curve and  $D \subset \mathbb{P}^1 \times B$  degree  $n$  Cartier divisor,
- $\pi : B \rightarrow B'$  birational with  $B'$  higher cusps only  
(for example  $k[t^m, t^{m+1}]$ )

New family:

- $\mathbb{P}^1 \times B'$  and  $D' := (\pi, 1_P)_* D$ .

**Claim.**  $D'$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier if  $\text{char} = p > 0$ .

Proof: For  $q > m^2$  factors as  $\text{Frob}_q : B \xrightarrow{\pi} B' \xrightarrow{\tau} B$ .

So  $q \cdot D' = q \cdot (\pi, 1_P)_* D = (\tau, 1_P)^* D$ .

*Aside.* If  $\text{char} = 0$ , then  $D'$  is not\*  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier

## Points on $\mathbb{P}^1$ – slide 3

**Corollary.** Fix a smooth curve  $B$  and  $h : B \rightarrow M_{0,n}^{\mathbb{Q}}$ .  
Let  $B'$  be any curve with higher cusps and  
normalization  $\pi : B \rightarrow B'$ .

Then  $h$  factors as

$$h : B \xrightarrow{\pi} B' \xrightarrow{h'} M_{0,n}^{\mathbb{Q}}$$

**Exercise.** Fix  $Z$ . If every  $B \rightarrow Z$  factors through every  
 $B \rightarrow B'$ , then  $Z = \text{Spec } k$ .

**Complement.** One can do the same with  
2-dimensional *senimormal* bases, using:

$$k[x] + (y^q - x)k[x, y] \subset k[x, y],$$

which is senimormal, with normalization  $k[x, y]$ .



## Proposal to solve the $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier problem

We have to impose the additional

**Assumption:** Let  $\pi : X \rightarrow S$  be stable and  $D \subset X$  a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier relative Mumford divisor.

Pick  $x \in X$  and  $s = \pi(x)$ . Then:

If  $m_x \cdot D_s$  is Cartier at  $x$ , then  $m_x \cdot D$  is Cartier at  $x$ .

**Comment.** If  $p = \text{char}$ , then  $p^c m_x D$  is Cartier for some  $c$ .

**Warning.** May need adjusting if  $D_s$  has multiplicities.